

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,333			Kenji Aoki	0671.66008 1506	
24978	7590	12/16/2003	•	EXAM	INER
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			MILLER, BRIAN E		
300 S WAC 25TH FLOO	KER DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,)6	2652	7	
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/014,33	33	AOKI, KENJI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Brian E. M	liller	2652			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the communication apports.	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the state will apply and wi e, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,11,12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 3-4, 6-10 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process of the certification of the first sentence of the certification.	ts have bee ts have bee ority docume u (PCT Rule of the certi- ic priority ur st sentence ovisional ap ic priority ur	n received. n received in Application received in Application that have been received at 17.2(a)). fied copies not received and 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or plication has been received at 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/014,333

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-15 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 11-12, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lakshmikumaran et al (US. 6,282,055). Lakshmikumaran et al discloses a head for writing/reading to/from a magnetic tape, as shown at least in FIGs. 1-3, including: (as per claims 1 & 12) a first elongated chip assembly 12 fixedly adhered to a second elongated chip assembly 16 (via chip assembly 14); a tape running surface 34 formed in a longitudinal direction of the first and second chip assemblies; a read/write gap line 18 for supporting a read/write element extending longitudinally along the tape running surface on each of the first and second chip assemblies, at least one groove 20 formed on each side of the read/write gap line on each of the first and second chip assemblies and extending substantially parallel to the read/write gap line (see FIG. 3); wherein each of the grooves have a width which is greater than a width of a wall *portion*, i.e., the wall *portion* between gap 18 and edge 24); (as per claim 5) wherein each of the first 14 (note change) and second chip assemblies (12-note change or 16) includes a first block having a substantially planar first surface and a second block having first and second surfaces,

Art Unit: 2652

the first surface of the first block being attached to the first surface of the second block, the second surface of the second block of the first chip assembly is adhered to the second surface of the second block of the second chip assembly (the actual surfaces of the respective blocks are unnumbered, however, it is apparent from FIG. 2); (as per claim 14) the tape running surface of the chip assembly curves in a direction transverse to the longitudinal direction (see col. 2, lines 45-47); (as per claims 11 & 15) at least one groove 20 formed on a first side of each read/write gap line and two grooves 20, 38 formed on a second side of each read/write gap line.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-4, 6-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A...Applicant asserts that "the Lakshmikumaran et al. reference fails to disclose (or suggest) a head 'wherein each of said grooves has a width which is greater than or equal to a width of a wall portion separating said grooves on both sides of each said read/write gap line, and a depth which is greater than or equal to said width of said grooves."

In response, the Examiner maintains that Lakshmikumaran does indeed show such a relationship, in so far as the claims set forth this limitation particularly. The claims merely recite a "wall

Application/Control Number: 10/014,333

Art Unit: 2652

portion" (emphasis added by Examiner), such that a portion of the wall does not necessarily include the entire wall, as applicant is arguing. The Examiner specifically emphasized this word in the rejection to make this interpretation clear, however, the amendment does not modify the claims accordingly.

B...Applicant further contends that "Lakshmikumaran et al. does not disclose or suggest the phenomenon that, when the dimensions "d", "w" and "g" have the specific relationship of the present invention, there is significant influence on the amount of tape floating when the magnetic tape is traveling at a high rate of speed."

In response, the Examiner notes that the above features, which applicant relies upon, are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2652

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 308-2850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

bem

December 12, 2003